Physics at Hadron Colliders

Lecture II

Beate Heinemann

University of California, Berkeley and
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

CERN, Summer Student Lectures, 2010

Outline

- Lecture I: Introduction
  - Outstanding problems in particle physics
    - and the role of hadron colliders
  - Current colliders: Tevatron and LHC
  - Hadron-hadron collisions
- Lecture II: Standard Model Measurements
  - Standard Model Cross Section Measurements as Tests of QCD
  - Precision measurements in electroweak sector
- Lecture III: Searches for the Higgs Boson
  - Standard Model Higgs Boson
  - Higgs Bosons beyond the Standard Model
- Lecture IV: Searches for New Physics
  - Supersymmetry
  - High Mass Resonances (Extra Dimensions etc.)

Standard Model Cross Section Measurements as test of QCD

- Jets
- W and Z bosons
- Top Quark Production

What is a Cross Section?

- Differential cross section: $d\sigma/d\Omega$:
  - Probability of a scattered particle in a given quantum state per solid angle $d\Omega$
    - E.g. Rutherford scattering experiment
- Other differential cross sections: $d\sigma/dE_T$(jet)
  - Probability of a jet with given E_T
- Integrated cross section
  - Integral: $\sigma = \int d\sigma/d\Omega \, d\Omega$

Measurement: $\sigma=(N_{obs}-N_{bg})/(\varepsilon L)$

Jet Cross Sections



- Inclusive jets: processes qq, qg, gg





- Highest E_T probes shortest distances
  - Tevatron: $r_q<10^{-18}$ m
  - LHC: $r_q<10^{-19}$ m (?)
  - Could e.g. reveal substructure of quarks
- Tests perturbative QCD at highest energies

Jet Cross Section History





- Run I (1996):
  - Excess at high E_T
  - Could be signal for quark substructure?!?

Jet Cross Section History



- Since Run I:
  - Revision of parton density functions
    - Gluon is uncertain at high x
    - It including these data describes data well





Jet Cross Sections: Tevatron





- Excellent agreement with QCD calculation over 8 orders of magnitude!
- No excess any more at high E_T
  - Large pdf uncertainties will be constrained by these data

Jet Cross Sections: Tevatron and LHC







- First LHC measurements agree well with theoretical predictions

High Mass Dijet Event: M=1.4 TeV



CDF Run II Preliminary

```
Jet Et1 = 666 GeV (corr)
          583 GeV (raw)
eta1    = 0.31 (detector)
          0.43 (corr z)

Jet Et2 = 633 GeV (corr)
          546 GeV (raw)
eta2    = -0.30 (detector)
          -0.19 (corr z)
```



```
Run    152507
Event  1222318

DiJet Mass = 1364 GeV (corr)

z vertex   = -25 cm
```

High-Mass Di-Jets at the LHC

- M(jj)=2.55 GeV
- pT(j1)=420 GeV
- pT(j2)=320 GeV



W and Z Bosons

- Focus on leptonic decays:
  - Hadronic decays ~impossible due to enormous QCD dijet background
- Selection:
  - Z:
    - Two leptons p_T>20 GeV
      - Electron, muon, tau
  - W:
    - One lepton p_T>20 GeV
    - Large imbalance in transverse momentum
      - Missing E_T>20 GeV
      - Signature of undetected particle (neutrino)
- Excellent calibration signal for many purposes:
  - Electron energy scale
  - Track momentum scale
  - Lepton ID and trigger efficiencies
  - Missing E_T resolution
  - Luminosity …





Lepton Identification

- Electrons:
  - compact electromagnetic cluster in calorimeter
  - Matched to track



- Muons:
  - Track in the muon chambers
  - Matched to track



- Taus:
  - Narrow jet
  - Matched to one or three tracks



- Neutrinos:
  - Imbalance in transverse momentum
  - Inferred from total transverse energy measured in detector
  - More on this in Lecture 4



Electron and Muon Identification

- Desire:
  - High efficiency for isolated electrons
  - Low misidentification of jets



- Performance:
  - Efficiency:
    - 60-100% depending on |η|
    - Measured using Z's



Electrons and Jets



- Jets can look like electrons, e.g.:
  - photon conversions from π^0's: ~13% of photons convert (in CDF)
  - early showering charged pions
- And there are lots of jets!!!

Jets faking Electrons

- Jets can pass electron ID cuts,
  - Mostly due to
    - early showering charged pions
    - Conversions:$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \rightarrow ee+X$
    - Semileptonic b-decays
  - Difficult to model in MC
    - Hard fragmentation
    - Detailed simulation of calorimeter and tracking volume
- Measured in inclusive jet data at various E_T thresholds
  - Prompt electron content negligible:
    - N_{jet}~10 billion at 50 GeV!
  - Fake rate per jet:
    - CDF, tight cuts: 1/10000
    - ATLAS, tight cuts: 1/80000
  - Typical uncertainties 50%



Jets faking "loose" electrons



W's and Z's

- Z mass reconstruction
  - Invariant mass of two leptons

$$m = \sqrt{(E_1 + E_2)^2 - (\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2)^2}$$

  - Sets electron energy scale by comparison to LEP measured value



- W mass reconstruction
  - Do not know neutrino p_Z
  - No full mass resonstruction possible
  - Transverse mass:

$$m_T = \sqrt{|p_T^{\ell}|^2 + |p_T^{\nu}|^2 - (\vec{p}_T^{\ell} + \vec{p}_T^{\nu})^2}$$



Tevatron W and Z Cross Section Results

- Uncertainties:
  - Experimental: 2%
  - Theortical: 2%
  - Luminosity: 6%
- Can we use these processes to normalize luminosity?
  - Is theory reliable enough?



LHC signals of W's and Z's with ~0.3 pb^{-1}





- 0.2-0.3 pb^{-1} yield clean signals of W's and Z's

LHC W and Z Cross Sections





- Data agree well with theoretical expectation
  - Uncertainties: 13% (W), 17% (Z)
  - Luminosity uncertainty 10%

Top Quark Production and Decay

- At Tevatron, mainly produced in pairs via the strong interaction

85%





15%

- Decay via the electroweak interactions Br(t →Wb) ~ 100%
  Final state is characterized by the decay of the W boson

Dilepton

Lepton+Jets

All-Jets



Different sensitivity and challenges in each channel

How to identify the top quark

SM: $t\bar{t}$ pair production, Br($t \rightarrow bW$)=100% , Br($W \rightarrow l\nu$)=1/9=11%

dilepton	***(4/81)***	**2 leptons + 2 jets + missing E_T**
l+jets	***(24/81)***	**1 lepton + 4 jets + missing E_T**
fully hadronic	***(36/81)***	**6 jets**

(here: l=e,μ)



How to identify the top quark

SM: $t\bar{t}$ pair production, Br($t \to bW$)=100% , Br(W->lν)=1/9=11%

dilepton	***(4/81)***	**2 leptons + 2 jets + missing E_T**
lepton+jets	***(24/81)***	**1 lepton + 4 jets + missing E_T**
fully hadronic	***(36/81)***	**6 jets**



b-jets

lepton(s)

missing ET

How to identify the top quark

SM: $t\bar{t}$ pair production, Br($t \rightarrow bW$)=100% , Br(W->lv)=1/9=11%

dilepton	***(4/81)***	**2 leptons + 2 jets + missing E_T**
lepton+jets	***(24/81)***	**1 lepton + 4 jets + missing E_T**
fully hadronic	***(36/81)***	**6 jets**



How to identify the top quark

SM: $t\bar{t}$ pair production, Br($t\rightarrow bW$)=100% , Br(W->lv)=1/9=11%

dilepton *(4/81)* **2 leptons + 2 jets + missing E_T**
lepton+jets *(24/81)* **1 lepton + 4 jets + missing E_T**
fully hadronic *(36/81)* **6 jets**



Top Event Categories



Finding the Top at Tevatron and LHC

- **B-tagging helps a lot:**
  - Signal/Background improved by about a factor of 10 when using b-tagging
- **Tevatron (with 4 jets):**
  - no b-tagging: S/B≈0.8
  - With b-tagging: S/B≈6
- **Use b-tagging both at Tevatron and at LHC**



Finding the b-jets



- Exploit large lifetime of the b-hadron
  - B-hadron flies before it decays: $d=c\tau$
    - Lifetime τ =1.5 ps^{-1}
    - $d=c\tau$ = 460 μm
    - Can be resolved with silicon detector resolution



- Procedure "Secondary Vertex":
  - reconstruct primary vertex:
    - resolution ~ 30 μm
  - Search tracks inconsistent with primary vertex (large d_0):
    - Candidates for secondary vertex
    - See whether three or two of those intersect at one point
  - Require displacement of secondary from primary vertex
    - Form L_{xy}: transverse decay distance projected onto jet axis:
      - $L_{xy}>0$: b-tag along the jet direction => real b-tag or mistag
      - $L_{xy}<0$: b-tag opposite to jet direction => mistag!
    - Significance: e.g. $\delta L_{xy} / L_{xy} > 7$ (i.e. 7σ significant displacement)
- More sophisticated techniques exist

The Top Signal: Lepton + Jets

- Select:
  - 1 electron or muon
  - Large missing E_T
  - 1 or 2 b-tagged jets







double-tagged events, nearly no background

Check backgrounds

Top Signal

$\sigma(t\bar{t}) = 8.3^{+0.6}_{-0.5}(\text{stat}) \pm 1.1\ (\text{syst})$ pb

The Top Signal: Dilepton

- Select:
  - 2 leptons: ee, eμ, μμ
  - Large missing E_T
  - 2 jets (with or w/o b-tag)



w/o b-tag



with b-tag



$\sigma = 6.2 \pm 0.9$ (stat) ± 0.9 (sys) pb

The Top Cross Section



- Many measurements that use different techniques
- Good agreement
  - between all measurements
  - between data and theory
- Experimental Precision: ~6%
  - Better than theoretical precision

Searching for Top at CMS and ATLAS

At least 1jet b-tagged





- Both experiments have seen a few candidate events
- No conclusive signal yet

Precision Measurement of Electroweak Sector of the Standard Model

- W boson mass
- Top quark mass
- Implications for the Higgs boson

The W boson, the top quark and the Higgs boson

- Top quark is the heaviest known fundamental particle
  - Today: m_{top}=173.3+-1.1 GeV
  - Run 1: m_{top}=178+-4.3 GeV/c^2
- Masses related through radiative corrections:
  - $m_W \sim M_{top}^2$
  - $m_W \sim \ln(m_H)$
- If there are new particles the relation might change:
  - Precision measurement of top quark and W boson mass can reveal new physics





The W boson, the top quark and the Higgs boson

- Top quark is the heaviest known fundamental particle
  - Today: m_{top}=173.3+-1.1 GeV
  - Run 1: m_{top}=178+-4.3 GeV/c^2
- Masses related through radiative corrections:
  - $m_W \sim M_{top}^2$
  - $m_W \sim \ln(m_H)$
- If there are new particles the relation might change:
  - Precision measurement of top quark and W boson mass can reveal new physics





The W boson, the top quark and the Higgs boson

- Top quark is the heaviest known fundamental particle
  - Today: m_{top}=173.3+-1.1 GeV
  - Run 1: m_{top}=178+-4.3 GeV/c^2
- Masses related through radiative corrections:
  - $m_W \sim M_{top}^2$
  - $m_W \sim \ln(m_H)$
- If there are new particles the relation might change:
  - Precision measurement of top quark and W boson mass can reveal new physics





W Boson mass

- Real precision measurement:
  - LEP: M_W=80.367±0.033 GeV/c^2
  - Precision: 0.04%
    - => Very challenging!
- Main measurement ingredients:
  - Lepton p_T
  - Hadronic recoil parallel to lepton: $u_{||}$
- Z→ll superb calibration sample:
  - but statistically limited:
    - About a factor 10 less Z's than W's
    - Most systematic uncertainties are related to size of Z sample
      - Will scale with $1/\sqrt{N_Z}$ ($=1/\sqrt{L}$)



$$m_T = \sqrt{2 p_T^l \not{p}_T \left(1 - \cos\Delta\phi\right)},$$
$$\not{p}_T \approx |p_T + u_{||}|$$

$$m_T \approx 2p_T\sqrt{1 + u_{||}/p_T} \approx 2p_T + u_{||}$$

Lepton Momentum Scale and Resolution









- **Systematic uncertainty on momentum scale: 0.04%**

Systematic Uncertainties

m_T Fit Uncertainties

Source	$W \to \mu\nu$	$W \to e\nu$	Correlation
Tracker Momentum Scale	17	17	100%
Calorimeter Energy Scale	0	25	0%
Lepton Resolution	3	9	0%
Lepton Efficiency	1	3	0%
Lepton Tower Removal	5	8	100%
Recoil Scale	9	9	100%
Recoil Resolution	7	7	100%
Backgrounds	9	8	0%
PDFs	11	11	100%
W Boson p_T	3	3	100%
Photon Radiation	12	11	100%
Statistical	54	48	0%
Total	60	62	-

Limited by data statistics (Tracker Momentum Scale through Backgrounds)

Limited by data and theoretical understanding (PDFs, W Boson p_T, Photon Radiation)

TABLE IX: Uncertainties in units of MeV on the transverse mass fit for m_W in the $W \to \mu\nu$ and $W \to e\nu$ samples.

- Overall uncertainty 60 MeV for both analyses
  - Careful treatment of correlations between them
- Dominated by stat. error (50 MeV) vs syst. (33 MeV)

W Boson Mass





World average:

M_W=80399 ± 23 MeV

Ultimate precision:

Tevatron: 15-20 MeV

LHC: unclear (5 MeV?)

Top Mass Measurement: $t\bar{t} \rightarrow (bl\nu)(bqq)$

- 4 jets, 1 lepton and missing E_T
  - Which jet belongs to what?
  - Combinatorics!
- B-tagging helps:
  - 2 b-tags =>2 combinations
  - 1 b-tag => 6 combinations
  - 0 b-tags =>12 combinations
- Two Strategies:
  - Template method:
    - Uses "best" combination
    - Chi2 fit requires $m(t)=m(\bar{t})$
  - Matrix Element method:
    - Uses all combinations
    - Assign probability depending on kinematic consistency with top





Top Mass Determination

- Inputs:
  - Jet 4-vectors
  - Lepton 4-vector
  - Remaining transverse energy, $p_{T,UE}$:
    - $p_{T,\nu} = -(p_{T,l} + p_{T,UE} + \sum p_{T,jet})$
- Constraints:
  - $M(l\nu) = M_W$
  - $M(q\bar{q}) = M_W$
  - $M(t) = M(\bar{t})$
- Unknown:
  - Neutrino p_z
- 1 unknown, 3 constraints:
  - Overconstrained
  - Can measure M(t) for each event: m_t^{reco}
  - Leave jet energy scale ("JES") as free parameter



Selecting correct combination 20-50% of the time

Example Results on m_{top}



m_{top}=173.7±0.8±1.6 GeV (L=2.6 fb^{-1})

m_{top}=173.0±0.6±1.1 GeV (L=5.6 fb^{-1})

Combining M_{top} Results

- Excellent results in each channel
  - Dilepton
  - Lepton+jets
  - All-hadronic
- Combine them to improve precision
  - Include Run-I results
  - Account for correlations
- **Uncertainty: 1.1 GeV (0.61%)**
  - Dominated by syst. uncertainties
- Precision so high that theorists wonder about what it's exact definition is!



Implications for the Higgs Boson

LEPEWWG July 2010

Relation: M_W vs m_{top} vs M_H

$m_H = 89^{+35}_{-26}$ GeV





Standard Model still works!

Indirect constraints:
m_H<158 GeV @95%CL

Conclusions

- Perturbative QCD describes hadron collider data successfully:
  - Jet cross sections: $\Delta\sigma/\sigma \approx$ 20-100% (at Tevatron and LHC)
  - W/Z cross section: $\Delta\sigma/\sigma \approx$ 6% (Tevatron) and ~15% (LHC)
  - Top cross section: $\Delta\sigma/\sigma \approx$ 6%
    - Starting to probe top at the LHC
- High Precision measurements at Tevatron
  - W boson mass: $\Delta M_W/M_W$ =0.028%
  - top quark mass: $\Delta m_{top}/m_{top}$=0.61%
- Standard Model still works!
  - Higgs boson constrained
    - $114<m_H<158$ GeV/c^2 at 95% C.L.
  - Direct Searches: see next lecture!

Luminosity Measurement

$$R_{pp} = \mu_{pp} \cdot f_{BC} = \sigma_{inel} \cdot \varepsilon_{pp} \cdot \delta(L) \cdot L$$

σ_{LM}

L – luminosity

f_{bc} – Bunch Crossing rate

μ_a – # of pp / BC

σ_{inel} – inelastic x-section

ε_{pp} – acceptance for a single pp

$\delta(L)$ – detector non-linearity

- Measure events with 0 interactions
  - Related to R_{pp}
- Normalize to measured inelastic pp cross section
  - Tevatron: 60.7+/-2.4 mb
- LHC: 70-120 mb